OVERVIEW
The Collegiate Learning Assessment (CLA) is an open-ended assessment that measures your critical thinking, analytic reasoning, problem solving, and written communication skills.

The CLA consists of two main tasks:
- Performance Task
- Analytic Writing Task

PERFORMANCE TASK (PAGES 2-5)
A Performance Task presents a real-world situation in which an issue, problem, or conflict is identified. You are asked to assume a relevant role to address the issue, suggest a solution or recommend a course of action based on the information provided in a document library.

Typically a full CLA performance task contains six to twelve documents in the library, and students have 90 minutes to complete the task. The document library contains a variety of reference sources that can vary from a technical report, a data table, a newspaper article, an office memorandum, an e-mail, and other every-day materials.

What is presented in the practice is a much abbreviated version of a performance task. It illustrates some of the key components you will find in completing the CLA. The example only includes two documents, with only one question posed.

ANALYTIC WRITING (PAGES 6-14)
The Analytic Writing Task includes a pair of prompts called Make-an-Argument and Critique-an-Argument.

A Make-an-Argument prompt typically presents an opinion on some issue and asks students to write, in 45 minutes, a persuasive analytic essay to support a position on the issue.

A Critique-an-Argument prompt asks students, in 30 minutes, to critique an argument by discussing how well-reasoned they find it to be (rather than simply agreeing or disagreeing with the position presented).

On the following pages are an example examples of all CLA Tasks. In addition there are responses that demonstrate and explain the student’s critical thinking, analytic reasoning, and written communication skills.
PERFORMANCE TASK: INSTRUCTIONS

This is an example of a brief performance task. In the course of this practice performance task, you will prepare a written response to a hypothetical but realistic situation. The performance task is made up of an introductory scenario, a question, and some documents that include several information sources. You will use information from the documents in carrying out the task.

While your personal values and experiences are important, you should base your response on the evidence provided in these documents.

TASK INFORMATION

ROLE
You are a staff member for an organization that analyzes the accuracy of policy claims made by political candidates. The organization is non-partisan, meaning that it is not influenced by, affiliated with or supportive of any one political party or candidate.

SCENARIO
Leila Jainson is running for reelection as the mayor of Stoneville. Mayor Jainson’s opponent in this contest is Dr. Carl Greer. Dr. Greer is a member of the Stoneville City Council. During a recent TV interview about cell phone use, Dr. Greer claimed that these phones interfered with people’s ability to operate a motorized vehicle and caused vehicle-related accidents in Stoneville. Dr. Greer said that reducing cell phone usage while driving motorized vehicles would lower the city’s vehicle-related accident rate. To support this argument, Dr. Greer presented a chart that compared the percentage of drivers who use cell phones while driving to the number of vehicle-related accidents. Dr. Greer based this chart on cell phone use and community data tables that were provided by the Stoneville Police Department and government population counts.

TASK
Your job is to evaluate Dr. Greer’s claims. To do so, please answer the question that follows, using the supporting documents provided (labeled A and B). Your answers should include the appropriate or relevant evidence (drawn from documents A and B) necessary to support your position.

QUESTION
Dr. Greer claims that “reducing cell phone usage while driving motorized vehicles would lower the city’s vehicle-related accident rate” (Document B exhibits the chart Dr. Greer used to support this statement).

1. What are the strengths and/or limitations of Dr. Greer’s position on this matter? What specific information in Documents A and B led you to this conclusion? What additional information, if any, would you like to have had?
The two tables below present data about the city’s five regions. The percentage of registered drivers who use cell phones while operating a motorized vehicle (Table 1) was obtained from a population survey. The middle column of Table 1 shows the number of registered drivers involved in a motorized vehicle-related accident. The number of registered drivers (Table 1) and the percentage who are college graduates (Table 2) are based on 2005 government population counts. The percentage of moving violation offenders in Stoneville (Table 2) is based on 2005 Stoneville Police Department data.

### TABLE 1: VEHICULAR ACCIDENTS STATISTICS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Percentage of drivers who use cell phones while operating a motorized vehicle</th>
<th>Number of registered drivers involved in a vehicle-related accident</th>
<th>Number of registered drivers</th>
<th>Number of vehicle-related accidents per 1,000 drivers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>8,396</td>
<td>8.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>13,099</td>
<td>8.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>18,886</td>
<td>9.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>23,993</td>
<td>8.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Center</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>25,875</td>
<td>8.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2: DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Percentage of moving violation offenders in Stoneville</th>
<th>Percentage of drivers who are college graduates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Center</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dr. Greer used the chart below during a TV interview to show the relationship between the number of people who use cell phones while driving a motorized vehicle and vehicular accidents in Stoneville. This chart is based on data that were provided to Dr. Greer by the Stoneville Police Department (Document A).
Dr. Greer claims that “reducing cell phone usage while driving motorized vehicles would lower the city’s vehicle-related accident rate” (Document B exhibits the chart Dr. Greer used to support this statement).

1. What are the strengths and/or limitations of Dr. Greer’s position on this matter? What specific information in Documents A and B led you to this conclusion? What additional information, if any, would you like to have had?
I cannot agree with Dr. Greer that “reducing cell phone usage while driving motorized vehicles would lower the city’s vehicle-related accident rate.” Dr. Greer’s strategy of looking for root causes of vehicle-related accidents is a good one, but cell phone use while driving may not be the primary cause of vehicular accidents in Stoneville.

The chart he showed in his TV interview (Document B) seems to show that vehicle-related accidents increase along with the percent of registered drivers using cell phones while driving. However, Dr. Greer is either misunderstanding the information he gathered from Document A to create his chart, or he is misleading the public. What his chart (Document B) does not show is the population of each region. Therefore, the chart ends up comparing a number with a percent, which is not meaningful. Dr. Greer is correct in saying that the number of vehicle-related accidents increases with the total number of registered driver living in each region, but he fails to consider number of accidents per 1,000 drivers. When I look at the tables provided by the police department (Document A), I can see that the number of vehicle-related accidents per 1,000 drivers stays relatively constant regardless of the percentage of drivers using cell phones while operating a motorized vehicle. You would expect the region with 1% cell phone users while driving and the one with 10% to have very different vehicular accident rates, but in fact, they are the same at 8.59. This suggests that reducing cell phone use while driving a motorized vehicle may not affect the vehicular accident rate at all.

There are many things that cause vehicle-related accidents. The North region has 5% of cell phone users while operating a motorized vehicle, but a noticeably higher vehicular accident rate of 9.04%, so it leads one to wonder what is going on in this region. It would be wise to examine this region to get an idea of all the other possibilities that may exist for vehicular accidents.

**WHY THIS IS A HIGH QUALITY RESPONSE**

- Clearly states position and provides rationale
- Weighs other options, but presents a position based on available evidence
- Raises issues about cause and provides specific supporting evidence that raises doubts about cause
- Discards irrelevant information (e.g. percent of registered drivers who are college graduates)
- Provides a well-organized response
- Provides a well-constructed response with complex sentences that vary in structure and length
- Recognizes a need for additional information and made a specific recommendation on the type of information
Government funding would be better spent on preventing crime than in dealing with criminals after the fact.

MAKE-AN-ARGUMENT: INSTRUCTIONS

You will have 45 minutes to plan and write an argument on the prompt that is presented. You should take a position to support or oppose the statement. Use examples taken from your reading, coursework, or personal experience to support your position. Your essay will be evaluated on how well you do the following:

• State your position
• Organize, develop, and express your ideas
• Support your ideas with relevant reasons and/or examples
• Address counter arguments to your position
• Control the elements of standard written English

Before you begin writing, you may want to take a few minutes to decide on a position and to plan a response. Be sure to develop your ideas fully and organize them coherently.

PROMPT

Government funding would be better spent on preventing crime than in dealing with criminals after the fact.
MAKING AN ARGUMENT: ANSWER SHEET
Please use the page provided to answer question from the Analytic Writing: Make-an-Argument section. If necessary additional paper can be used.

PROMPT
Government funding would be better spent on preventing crime than in dealing with criminals after the fact.
Government imposes order upon its citizens to pursue generally agreed-upon goals in society. An important function of American government, for example, is to protect the “life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness” of its citizens, a premise upon which the U.S. was founded more than two centuries ago. Guaranteeing this “inalienable right” through government action is easier said than done. In general, government does so by collecting taxes, enacting laws, and enforcing laws consistent with goals. Violating these laws, by definition, are crimes and the people who commit crimes are criminals. But the meaning of laws and the causes of crime are complicated. In all, there is no simple formula for investing taxpayer dollars and the statement oversimplifies the challenge of dealing with crime. While investing public dollars in crime prevention may have certain advantages, it is not necessarily “better spent” than “dealing with criminals after the fact.”

Laws are reflections of moral beliefs of society, that is, what we collectively believe to be right or wrong. These beliefs often change over time, and even by communities within broader society. Furthermore not all laws, or crimes, receive the same levels of enforcement. For example, while we might universally agree that certain violent acts (e.g., murder, rape, armed robbery) are indeed crimes that ought to be prevented at high dollar cost, we might not agree that others (e.g., underage drinking, jaywalking) deserve the same attention. And certain laws which may have been important at the time or in the jurisdiction where they were written, they may no longer be relevant, although they may remain on the books. Given different interpretations, severity and changing nature of crime, it might be quite difficult (and costly) to create a program that effectively prevents crime in all its variety. Doing so would run the risk of addressing those crimes that either do not pose significant threat to “life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness” or, in the future, are no longer crimes at all. By contrast, dealing with criminals after the fact has the advantage of focusing resources on those who have indeed violated existing laws in society, in particular those laws society has chosen to enforce. This approach also allows society to reconsider laws for relevance in present-day society (i.e., through the courts) as violations occur, so that criminal behavior may be redefined as concepts of morality may change.

Furthermore, preventing crime requires that we understand why crimes occur, so that we may know how to intervene. But crime is complex, stemming from many, many conditions pertaining to society and its members. These factors may divide along lines of the classic debate in biology over “nature vs. nurture” as determinants of behavior. Interpreting crime in this way, we might ask: Are criminals the result of the influence of their environment? Or are criminals born to commit crimes? If criminals are products of their environment, then crime prevention programs should address root causes of crime in society. But what are these root causes, and can they be disentangled from a combination of other factors? Are all people susceptible to the same causes, or does a crime prevention program
need to accommodate all individual differences so that none will become criminals? Investing in a comprehensive crime prevention program that addresses all causes and all individuals would appear to be a costly proposition. It is difficult to imagine a program that could effectively do so, at any cost. Furthermore, addressing a root cause of crime would likely trigger a series of other causes that would need to be addressed. If, for example, robbery is related to high incidence of poverty and drug abuse, then crime prevention requires effective programs to address problems of poverty and substance abuse. But these, too, are complex problems related to issues of education, discrimination, mental health, and so forth. Where would the crime prevention program (and government investment) stop? By contrast, according to the “nature” argument, criminals are social deviants from birth. Addressing crime becomes a simple matter of identifying these individuals and removing them from society according to the crimes they commit, without any need to address social or environmental concerns. So long as the number of criminals is few, the cost of separating these individuals from society (e.g., by sending them to prison) will also be relatively small, and government funding might be “better spent” on this approach. But my understanding is that the “nature vs. nurture” argument rages on, leading me to believe that neither determines an individual’s behavior by itself. Sending individuals to prison, because they were born criminals, assumes that these people cannot become productive members of society. It denies these individuals their own “inalienable right,” a reason many have come to the America in the first place. Whether or not this is the case, keeping these individuals imprisoned assumes further that laws, and therefore the definition of crime, never changes. Unjust imprisonment in the name of dealing with criminals can never be government funding “better spent” in the United States.

Neither investment in crime prevention nor investment in dealing with criminals by themselves can easily address the problem of crime in our society. Instead, some combination, along with investments in other societal improvements will be required to address problems of crime. More generally, how government funding should be spent to address the complex challenge of protecting citizen’s rights to “life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness” is best determined by the continued interaction of lawmakers, law enforcement officials, the courts, and the citizenry, just as it has for more than 200 years.

**WHY THIS IS A HIGH QUALITY RESPONSE**

- Clearly elucidated thesis
- Well-organized
- Sophisticated use of vocabulary and mechanics
- Sophisticated, in-depth treatment of the issues
  - Acknowledges and discusses issues on both sides of the prompt
  - Raises uncommon points (e.g., the changing conception of crime)
  - Clarifies the different meanings and purposes of key terms (e.g., government, crime, prevention)
  - Supports points with helpful examples
  - Applies concepts from their education (e.g., nature vs. nurture, laws are reflections of societal moral beliefs)
  - Considers the consequences of their suggestions
  - Logically developed; each idea builds upon the last
The number of marriages that end in divorce keeps growing. A large percentage of them are from June weddings. Because June weddings are so popular, couples end up being engaged for a long time just so that they can get married in the summer months. The number of divorces gets bigger with each passing year, and the latest news is that more than 1 out of 3 marriages will end in divorce. So, if you want a marriage that lasts forever, it is best to do everything you can to prevent getting divorced. Therefore, it is good advice for young couples to have short engagements and choose a month other than June for a wedding.
PROMPT
The number of marriages that end in divorce keeps growing. A large percentage of them are from June weddings. Because June weddings are so popular, couples end up being engaged for a long time just so that they can get married in the summer months. The number of divorces gets bigger with each passing year, and the latest news is that more than 1 out of 3 marriages will end in divorce. So, if you want a marriage that lasts forever, it is best to do everything you can to prevent getting divorced. Therefore, it is good advice for young couples to have short engagements and choose a month other than June for a wedding.
There are several problems with this author’s argument for avoiding divorce by shortening engagements and avoiding June weddings. One problem is that just because the number of divorces is going up, divorces are not necessarily a bigger problem now than they were last year or the year before. Every year there are more people in the United States (and on the planet) so that means that each year there are more marriages and probably more divorces. If the number of divorces goes up and the number of people on the planet also goes up by the same amount, then it means that the percentage of divorces would be the same. The writer doesn't tell us whether the percentage of divorces has gone up, down or stayed the same.

The author assumes that because so many divorces are from June weddings, it means that June weddings cause the divorces, or make the divorces more likely. Because we don’t know whether the percentage of divorced couples has gone up, down or stayed the same, we don't know if divorces are more, less, or equally likely to happen these days. If more weddings happen in June (because as the writer points out, June weddings are so popular) we might also expect more divorces from weddings in June. If, for example, 80 percent of weddings happen in June, then we might expect 80 percent of divorces to happen to people who were married in June too. If the author is correct that 1 in 3 marriages end in divorce, then it may be the case that 1 in 3 June weddings end in divorce, 1 in 3 February weddings end in divorce, 1 in 3 July weddings end in divorce and so on.

Another problem is that the writer assumes that couples end up being engaged for a long time just so that they can get married in the summer months (like June). But couples might be engaged for long periods of time for a lot of other reasons too. For example, couples might stay engaged for a long time so that they can get to know each other better, and not rush into something too quickly. Or maybe they have lengthy engagements because weddings take a long time to plan. Both my parents and grandparents had long engagements and were married in winter, so clearly not all people are having long engagements just so they can wait to get married in the summer months. Furthermore, my parents and grandparents both married young and are still married, probably because of the greater understanding for one another that they developed during their engagement. If this is true, then the writer’s argument that couples should have short engagements to prevent divorces may not be justified.

The last problem that I see in the paragraph is that the author argues that avoiding June weddings will prevent divorce. But simply changing a wedding to May or July or any other month should not have any affect on whether or not a couple gets divorced. Divorce is caused by many complex issues in a relationship including communication, love, caring, respect, supportiveness, compromise,
compatibility, and above all hard work at maintaining the relationship. If a couple wants to try to prevent getting divorced, they should work on these things, not simply avoiding a June wedding as the author suggests. My brother is divorced. Yes, he was married in June. But in my opinion the date of their wedding was the least of their problems.

WHY THIS IS A HIGH QUALITY RESPONSE

- Information is well-organized. The reader knows exactly which part of the prompt is being critiqued at every point in the response
- Uses complex sentence structure and varied vocabulary
- Uses examples (e.g., reasoned hypothetical examples and common knowledge) to support and illustrate valid points
- Identifies numerous flaws (complex and subtle)
- Explanation/justification: The response not only mentions numerous flaws throughout the argument, but also explains the flaws clearly, completely, and convincingly for the reader
- Demonstrates solid understanding of several important critical thinking concepts. For example:
  - The difference between interpreting proportions versus just raw numbers in statistics and how doing so can lead to different conclusions
  - Correlation is not causation